Jean Foriefing Weekens sudar Newton 4-6 June 9 Chief Expuls Dukes a vige of the Jury Panel Allbouth only IUK Chief Exp (64) = Southeat we've kept this session as that's basis of a description of how a July Panel should be managed and as an into 650/\$1061/heach session when Barrie Roe (diblam chop) will discuss your vole You will receive as hand out checklist, bases on rules + passexperience puedice. Trades dis parabe - witherprake apply with magnation Ar Trantieal no training for allexperts - willreceive a multiple choice questionnaire chief experts ble trames Phr on St November Topass on to experts Aby 6th November I will pick ont some key responsibilités of chief experts - assest of ley Barry, Laurie Ceareth will Joens on management of a Jury panel. to Record tust injudicin Prepare proposals for judging teams including Sent also language composition of teams for different elements mix reams taking account of desirability of ensuring that as far as possible each expert judges the same total value of maximum marks. 2. Preparatory jury meetings invended Lactual Fearms, Check experts in attendance against latest advice from Technical Committee and 2.1 follow up any discrepancies with jury president. 2.2 Confirm languages of experts to establish requirement for interpreters. Check identity and validity/authority of interpreters allocated to the trade. 2.3 contd./

reception (party. Old expert, no problem how epert have any difficulty - see me, Contrace CIE, T Formal welcome to 'old' and 'new' experts. Encourage new experts to become (Healto Cow - Trang Reulie Exchange daille part of the team. accuedates What Too de Set out prepared workprogramme for jury to show time available and deadlines for project appraisal and possible amendment (without affecting materials required); agreement on detailed marking criteria

revision of technical description

selection of projects for subsequent competitions

inspection of workshop facilities, the first inspection to identify any obvious shortcomings in respect of materials, equipment and workshop safety, the second inspection to confirm compliance with jury requirements established during initial inspection

actual competition time

marking of projects

submission of marks and revised technical description to secretariat

release of experts only after marks have been checked.

Although this programme may have to be modified in the light of the time available, it is intended to secure the jury's commitment to an agreed work timetable and to make clear to all jury members what is expected of them, since the degree of individual experts' commitment can vary, especially as the competition proceeds. All the elements must be completed **before** the jury is released.

Assessment of the project proposed by the Secretariat for the immediate Discuss the proposed project against the criteria of competition.

2.6.1

- compliance with the technical description
- clarity
- if drawn, submitted in ISO A and ISO E
- relevance to current practice
- capable of being completed by a reasonable percentage of competitors within 22 hours
- adequacy of marking scheme
- need for any essential changes (not involving additional or different materials).
- 2.6.2 If necessary, delegate revision to a sub-group and, in any case, get agreement of all experts to the project.
- 2.6.3 Obtain agreement of shop master to any changes.
- Identify experts able to translate project into language of their competitor 2.6.4 and get that done, including materials lists and any written instructions to competitors.

contd./

- 2.6.5 Discuss and secure agreement on mark scheme: maximise objective mark element if possible, identify and agree criteria for subjective marking (including 'quasi-objective'), indicate critical dimensions and, if many measurements/elements are possible, decide on which are to be measured/judged or how randomised checks on specific elements will be selected after the project is completed. Agree on how incomplete work should be marked. Check that the total maximum marks do not exceed 100. Write up details of mark scheme for competitors' reference and translate into competitors' languages. Check forms 6 and 5a.
- 2.6.6 The agreed and translated projects should be handed by the chief expert and jury president to the secretariat for safe keeping and also for any necessary further translation for competitors not represented by an expert, together with
 - drawings with critical dimensions indicated
 - material list
 - mark scheme
 - (checked?) and completed forms 6 and 5a.
- 2.6.7 Discuss and agree marking procedures. Reinforce point that no 'private' recording of marks.
 - Marking is carried out by small groups of three experts who are to mark all the competitors for that particular element or those elements.
 It is for the chief expert to assign individuals to these groups taking account of experience, languages spoken and balance - the groups to be recorded on form 6.
 - Subjective element marked first against previously identified and agreed criteria. Remind experts that individual marks finally recorded must be within a range of 3 marks (not more than a 2 mark gap). The chief expert may or may not decide to mark.
 - Marks awarded within the maximum for that element of the project may be rounded to a maximum of two decimal places.
 - Design any marking templates or gauges and arrange for workshop master to provide required materials. Jury team to make these instruments.
 - Time spent agreeing the maximum detail at this stage will be more than saved at the marking stage. It is important to ensure that at all stages all experts fully understand what has been discussed and agreed. If necessary, decisions should await the arrival of a translator. To avoid disputes later, it is useful to record all decisions on a flip chart.

- 2.6.8 Discuss and secure agreement of jury to a safety checklist covering minimum safety requirements for safe working taking account of the host country's requirements. A list prepared in advance is useful. Provide this list for workshop master.
- 2.6.9 Arrange second inspection of workshop to
 - confirm availability of materials, equipment etc
 - carry out safety check against list provided previously to workshop master
- 2.7 Revision of technical description
 - 2.7.1 This is to be done **before** consideration of projects brought for subsequent competitions.
 - 2.7.2 The existing technical description should be critically assessed against the following criteria
 - is modularised if possible to enable daily marking, both to increase interest and to shorten final marking process
 - reflects current commercial/ industrial practice and does not artificially restrict the range of tasks or the use of tools and equipment used
 - includes problem solving, design and innovation as may be required in commercial practice
 - includes fault finding and rectification if practised by the trade
 - judged and assessed against commercially realistic standards with most weight being to completeness, function and appearance and less weight to internal dimensions and working methods
 - critically reviews the plant and equipment required to be provided by the host country with a view to reducing this from a maximum to an optimum level.
 - 2.7.3 The agreed amendments should immediately be translated into the three official languages and the master copy in each language signed by all the experts ready for submission with forms 6 and 5a at the end of the competition. (Copies should preferably be obtained for each expert.)
- 2.8 Presentation and selection of test projects suitable for future competitions.
 - 2.8.1 Certain countries will have agreed to present projects in the three official languages. Other experts **may** bring projects in one language. One copy only is to be brought.
- 2.8.2 Agree a system of presentation:
 - put on wall or describe individually?
 - ensure ample time for consideration and questioning; more important to select good ones than to decide quickly
 - make sure each expert has fair say.

- Propose and secure jury agreement on criteria to be employed for 2.8.3 selection of proposal taking account of 2.6.1 and 2.7.2 above.
- Propose and secure jury agreement on selection system using previously agreed criteria, eg short-listing, mark ordering/scoring, secret ballot. A grid table on a flip chart may be helpful in presenting the results.
- 2.8.5 Consider possible desirability of amending or combining projects
- 2.8.6 It is the chief expert's responsibility to ensure that the projects meet the (revised) technical description as revised and do not require plant or equipment not included in it.

3. Final pre-competition checks

Before competitors arrive check that

- daily timetable has been agreed and is displayed
- final safety checks have been carried out in workshop
- practice materials and equipment are ready
- experts/workshop master have been briefed to carry out any demonstrations on equipment.

Introduction and practice session (in days before competition)

4.1 Welcome competitors, introduce experts, explain programme, practice session Tuy president was till Por 9/11 and familiarisation period.

- 4.2 Allocate workstations by random process and ensure competitors are content and receive answers to legitimate questions in a language they understand. If necessary obtain services of an interpreter.
- 4.3 Check competitors' age against passports or other identity documents. Remember that some countries may not use the Julian calendar; if necessary consult the relevant technical delegate.
- 4.4 Check toolboxes and, in agreement with jury, exclude any equipment 'not in normal use and work practice' as well as any suspect jigs or templates.
- 4.5 Explain timetable for each day and arrangements for competitors, half at a time, to have 2 hours on the second day to see the rest of the competition, or at least some time over the competition. Give this job to a couple of experts, their specific extra task being to keep complete timing records and post them.
- 4.6 Ensure competitors have copies of Form 6 and are aware of the key dimensions to be marked, if this has been decided in advance.
- 4.7 Brief competitors on any special safety arrangements etc. Advise procedure to be followed if an accident occurs.

contd./

opening foolboxes

- 4.8 Brief competitors on not communicating with own expert except with another expert present. Refer to new disciplinary procedure.
- 4.9 Explain to workshop master and assistants that they must not communicate with or assist any competitor, unless on the direct instruction of the chief expert.
- 4.10 Remind interpreters that they are not allowed to enter the competition area.
- 4.11 Supervise the practice session and ensure that all competitors are happy with the tools and equipment.
- 4.12 Check the competitors' own electrical equipment for safety and that they have relevant personal protective equipment.
- 4.13 Ensure that all used and unused scrap practice material is removed from the workstations.

5. During the familiarisation period (on morning of first day of competition)

- 5.1 Collect drawings and instructions from Secretariat and issue to competitors, ensuring that they put their names and work station numbers on drawings.
- 5.2 Ensure that experts are not allocated to their own competitors but otherwise respond to questions on the drawing.
- 5.3 Identify any problems indicated by individual competitors, which may require advice to all competitors.
- 5.4 Ensure that all competitors have checked the material allocation against the material list and found this to be correct.
- 5.5 Organise group sessions with competitors to go over any problems. If amendments to the drawing are required, check that they are noted by all competitors.
- 5.6 Advise procedures for and penalties associated with the use of additional materials by competitors.
- 5.7 Explain the procedures to be followed if a competitor has a problem during the competition, reporting it to a non-compatriot expert and recording the time lost.
- 5.8 Ensure that all competitors have understood what has been said, if necessary calling in an interpreter.

6. **During the competition**

- 6.1 Ensure that all timings (start, lunch break, finish) are strictly adhered to.
- 6.2 Record any time lost and/or extra materials used by competitor.

contd./

Shower 6.1
according 6.2

Shower 6.2

Les of the available

- 6.3 Adjust individual competitors' timetables to allow for any time lost on a daily basis.
- 6.4 Record any unusual incidents, e.g. accidents.
- 6.5 Prevent unauthorised communication with competitors by outsiders or the compatriot expert (except with a third person present) as well as undue attention or potential supervision by compatriot experts.
- 6.6 Control access to competition area and prevent access by anyone, except team leaders, except with express permission.
- 6.7 Prevent any vocal or other expression of judgement of a competitor's work by any expert.
- 6.8 Ensure that appeals for extra time in respect of defective workstations, materials or equipment or accidental damage by other persons are rapidly resolved with the agreement of all experts.
- 6.9 Arrange for display of project drawings to inform the public, as and when appropriate.
- 6.10 Ensure that all drawings, work in progress, unused materials and, if to be judged, waste materials are collected and properly secured overnight.
- 6.11 Oversee health and safety.
- 6.12 Ensure that all competitors and experts are allowed the allocated time to visit other stands.
- 6.13 On completion of competition congratulate all competitors.
- 6.14 Feedback to competitors on general performance.
- 6.15 Supervise collection of tools and clearance of stand ready for marking.
- 6.16 Allow for photograph session with competitors before they leave the stand.

Marking

- 7.1 If necessary remind experts of the agreed procedure, including the 1-10 scale, ie what a mark of 3 means etc.
- 7.2 Ensure that all subjective marking is done before objective.
- 7.3 Ensure that there is never a gap of more than 2 points between experts but, if so, the gap is narrowed by discussion.

contd./

- 7.4 Use flash cards unless alternative confidential system agreed.
- 7.5 Use forms 5 and 5a to record marks.
- 7.6 Transfer marks to form 6 for each competitor.
- 7.7 Question consistently lower marking by one expert which may favour that expert's compatriot competitor. This often needs to be done at an early stage of the marking to ensure the problem is properly resolved before moving on.
- 7.8 Do not permit private recording of marks.
- 7.9 With jury president, deliver forms 6 (and forms 5 and 5a) to secretariat, releasing experts only when forms 6 have been approved.

8. Further tasks and responsibilities

- 8.1 Although sometimes uncomfortable situations may and will occur, it is important to ensure correct procedures are followed, to register the details and arrive at the remedy. Write down the details and, if possible, get experts to sign.
- 8.2 Refer any problems which cannot be solved to the jury president.
- 8.3 Check that duties delegated to specific experts have been carried out.
- 8.4 If possible, a brief review of the competition can be useful to reinforce or even mend relationships between experts and establish ground rules for the next competition.
- 8.5 Ensure that all participating experts, workshop master and assistants are thanked for their efforts, and that the jury president is thanked for his/her support.
- 8.6 Some chief experts find it helpful to keep a diary of significant events and decisions.
- 8.7 Discourage use of mobile phones except where unavoidable.
- 8.8 Disks and personal laptops should not be removed from the competition areaduring the whole event.